

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

SW

				0.0
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/052,960	01/17/2002	Richardf L. Goodin	S63.2-9696	3347
490	7590 04/23/2004	EXAM	EXAMINER	
•	RETT & STEINKRAU	SIRMONS, KEVIN C		
6109 BLUE C	CIRCLE DRIVE			
SUITE 2000			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
MINNETONI	KA, MN 55343-9185	3763	1.5	
		DATE MAILED: 04/23/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					(5)			
•		Applicatio	n No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/052,960)	GOODIN ET AL.				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Kevin C. S	irmons	3763				
Period fe	The MAILING DATE of this communic or Reply	ation appears on the	cover sheet with the c	correspondence addres	3S			
A SH THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC Insions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of reply specified above, it is less than thirty (3) period for reply is specified above, the maximum stature to reply within the set or extended period for reply wireply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION. 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no ever nication. days, a reply within the statul tory period will apply and will II, by statute, cause the applic	ort, however, may a reply be tile ory minimum of thirty (30) day expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cation to become ABANDONE	nely filed /s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this commu	unication.			
Status								
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on 27 February 200	4 .					
	•)⊠ This action is no		•				
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-4,6-12,14,15 and 18-24 is/a 4a) Of the above claim(s) 18-24 is/are Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-4, 6-12, 14 and 15 is/are re Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction	withdrawn from consejected.	sideration.					
Applicat	ion Papers							
9) 🗌	The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.						
10)	10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objecti	ion to the drawing(s) be	e held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including to The oath or declaration is objected to I	•		-	, ,			
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority d 2. Certified copies of the priority d 3. Copies of the certified copies of application from the International See the attached detailed Office action	ocuments have beer ocuments have beer f the priority docume al Bureau (PCT Rule	n received. n received in Applicat nts have been receiv e 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Sta	g e			
Attachmer	• •							
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTC	O-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D					
3) Infor	rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or Pier No(s)/Mail Date	TO/SB/08)		Patent Application (PTO-152	2)			

Application/Control Number: 10/052,960

Art Unit: 3763

Page 2

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the at least a portion of the third outside diameter being substantially equal to the first outside diameter (claim 1) must be shown

or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held

in abeyance.

Allowable Subject Matter

The indicated allowability of claims 5, 6 and 10 are withdrawn in view of the newly discovered reference(s) to Keith et al U.S. Pat. No. 5, 395,334 and Sogard et al U.S. Pat. No.

5,447,497. Rejections based on the newly cited reference(s) follow.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

14 +15

Claims 1-4 and 7-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Keith et

al U.S. Pat. No. 5,395,334.

Art Unit: 3763

Keith discloses a first component (82) and a second component (36), in the pre-assembly state at least a portion of the first component overlapping at a bonding site at least a portion of the second component (fig. 2), the at least a portion of the first component having a first outer diameter and the at least a portion of the second component having a second outside diameter (fig. 2); and a third component (adhesive (no reference numeral given)), in the pre-assembly state at least a portion of the third component over lapping at the bonding side at least one of the at least a portion of the first component and the at least a portion of the second component (fig. 2), the at least a portion of the third component having a third outer diameter (fig. 2), wherein in the assembled state the at least a portion of the first outside diameter being substantially equal to the second outside diameter and the at least a portion of the third outside diameter being substantially equal to the first outside diameter (fig. 2); as to claim 2, (82); as to claim 3, (36/38); as to claim 4, (36/38); as to claim 7, (fig. 2); as to claim 8, (36/38); as to claim 14, (26); as to claim 15, (26).

Claims 9, 11±12 are considered product-by-process claims. "Even though product-by-process claims are limited by and defined by the process, determination of patentability is based on the product itself. The patentability of a product does not depend on its method of production. If the product in the product-by-process claim is the same or obvious from a product of the prior art, the claim is unpatentable even though the product was made by a different process." In re Thorpe, 777 F.2d 695, 698 227 USPQ 964, (66(Fed. Cir. 1985).

Basically, Burns discloses a catheter having the recited structural relation between the first component and the second component.

Application/Control Number: 10/052,960

Art Unit: 3763

Clearly, the first component and the second component bonded together. The claim describes how they are bonded together which indicates a process. Process limitations in a product claim are given little patentable weight.

Claims 1-4, 6-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Sogard U.S. Pat. No. 5,447,497.

Sogard discloses a first component (20) and a second component (26), in the preassembly state at least a portion of the first component overlapping at a bonding site at least a
portion of the second component (fig. 3 and 4), the at least a portion of the first component
having a first outer diameter and the at least a portion of the second component having a second
outside diameter (fig. 3 and 4); and a third component (28), in the pre-assembly state at least a
portion of the third component over lapping at the bonding side at least one of the at least a
portion of the first component and the at least a portion of the second component (fig. 3 and 4),
the at least a portion of the third component having a third outer diameter (fig. 3 and 4), wherein
in the assembled state the at least a portion of the first outside diameter being substantially equal
to the second outside diameter and the at least a portion of the third outside diameter being
substantially equal to the first outside diameter (fig. 3); as to claims 2-4, (fig. 3); as to claim 6, (3
and 4); as to claims 9 and 11-13, (the same rejection as above applies in this rejection); as to
claims 7, 8, 14 and 15, (figs. 3 and 4).

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 10/052,960

Art Unit: 3763

Page 5

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communication from the examiner should be directed to Kevin C. Sirmons whose telephone number is (703) 306-5410. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday from 6:30 am to 4:00 pm. The examiner can also be reached on alternate Fridays.

Kevin C. Sirmons

Patent Examiner

4/22/04